arXiv:1603.09324v1 [math.PR] 30 Mar 2016

PARISIAN RUIN FOR A REFRACTED LÉVY PROCESS

MOHAMED AMINE LKABOUS, IRMINA CZARNA, AND JEAN-FRANÇOIS RENAUD

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate Parisian ruin for a Lévy surplus process with an adaptive premium rate, namely a refracted Lévy process. More general Parisian boundary-crossing problems with a deterministic implementation delay are also considered. Our main contribution is a generalization of the result in [12] for the probability of Parisian ruin of a standard Lévy insurance risk process. Despite the more general setup considered here, our main result is as compact and has a similar structure. Examples are provided.

1. Introduction

In the last few years, the idea of Parisian ruin has attracted a lot of attention. In Parisian-type ruin models, the insurance company is not immediately liquidated when it defaults: a grace period is granted before liquidation. More precisely, Parisian ruin occurs if the time spent below a pre-determined critical level is longer than the implementation delay, also called the *clock*. Originally, two types of Parisian ruin have been considered, one with deterministic delays (see e.g. [2,12,15]) and another one with stochastic delays ([1,9,10]). These two types of Parisian ruin start a new clock each time the surplus enters the *red zone*, either deterministic or stochastic. A third definition of Parisian ruin, called cumulative Parisian ruin, has been proposed very recently in [5]; in that case, the *race* is between a single deterministic clock and the sum of the excursions below the critical level.

In this paper, we are interested in the time of Parisian ruin with a deterministic delay for a refracted Lévy insurance risk process. For a standard Lévy insurance risk process X, the time of Parisian ruin, with delay $r > 0$, has been studied in [12]: it is defined as

$$\kappa_r = \inf\{t > 0 \colon t - g_t > r\},$$

where $g_t = \sup\{0 \le s \le t \colon X_s \ge 0\}$. Loeffen et al. [12] obtained a very nice and compact expression for the probability of Parisian ruin:

Theorem 1. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_r < \infty) = 1 - (\mathbb{E}[X_1])_+ \frac{\int_0^\infty W(x+z) z \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty z \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}, \tag{1}$$

where the function W is the 0-scale function of X.

We want to improve on this result by making the model more general and realistic, as suggested in [14], by using a process with adaptive premium for the surplus process. More precisely, when the company is in financial distress, that is when X is below the critical level, the premium is increased; and when X leaves that *red zone* then the premium is brought back to its regular level. Therefore, we will use a refracted Lévy process as our surplus process.

Date: March 31, 2016.

Note that we could also interpret this change in the premium rate as a way to invest (for R&D, modernization, etc.): if the surplus of the company is in a good financial situation, i.e. above the *critical level*, then it invests at rate δ; otherwise it does not.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our model in more details together with some background material on spectrally negative Lévy processes and scale functions. The main results are presented in Section 3, while Section 4 presents a few examples. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of the main results as well as (new) technical lemmas. In the Appendix, a few well known properties of scale functions are presented.

2. Our model and background material

As mentioned in the introduction, we are interested in a surplus process U whose dynamics change by adding a fixed linear drift (premium) whenever it is below the critical level (red period). Without loss of generality, we will choose this critical level to be 0. In other words, our surplus process is given by the solution $U = \{U_t, t \geq 0\}$ to the following stochastic differential equation: for $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{d}U_t = \mathrm{d}X_t - \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t>0\}}\mathrm{d}t, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

where X is a Lévy insurance risk process (see the definition below) modelling the dynamic of the surplus U below zero. Above 0, our surplus process U evolves as $Y = \{Y_t = X_t - \delta t, t \geq 0\}$. Clearly, Y is also a Lévy insurance risk process; in fact, X and Y share many properties except for those affected by the value of the linear part of the Lévy process.

In summary, in our model, Y is the surplus process during *regular business periods*, while X is the surplus process, with increased rate of premium δ, for *critical business periods*. In the model, the *net profit condition* is given by $\mathbb{E}[U_1] \geq 0$ which is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}[Y_1] \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_1] \geq \delta$.

2.1. Lévy insurance risk processes.

We say that $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy insurance risk process if it is a spectrally negative Lévy process (SNLP) on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}, \mathbb{P})$, that is a process with stationary and independent increments and no positive jumps. To avoid trivialities, we exclude the case where X has monotone paths.

As the Lévy process X has no positive jumps, its Laplace transform exists: for all $\lambda, t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda X_t}\right] = \mathrm{e}^{t\psi(\lambda)},$$

where

$$\psi(\lambda) = \gamma\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\lambda^2 + \int_0^\infty \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda z} - 1 + \lambda z \mathbf{1}_{(0,1]}(z)\right)\Pi(\mathrm{d}z),$$

for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, and where Π is a σ-finite measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge z^2)\Pi(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$$

This measure Π is called the Lévy measure of X. Finally, note that $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \psi'(0+)$ and thus, in a Lévy insurance risk model, the *net profition condition* is written $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \psi'(0+) \geq 0$. We will use the standard Markovian notation: the law of X when starting from $X_0 = x$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_x$ and the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}_x$. We write $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ when $x = 0$.

When the surplus process X has paths of bounded variation, that is when $\int_0^1 z\Pi(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty$ and $\sigma = 0$, we can write

$$X_t = ct - S_t,$$

where $c := \gamma + \int_0^1 z\Pi(\mathrm{d}z) > 0$ is the drift of X and where $S = \{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a driftless subordinator (e.g. a Gamma process or a compound Poisson process).

We now present the definition of the scale functions $W^{(q)}$ and $Z^{(q)}$ of X. First, recall that there exists a function $\Phi\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ defined by $\Phi(q) = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid \psi(\lambda) = q\}$ (the right-inverse of ψ) such that

$$\psi(\Phi(q)) = q, \quad q \geq 0.$$

Now, for $q \geq 0$, the q-scale function of the process X is defined as the continuous function on $[0,\infty)$ with Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda y} W^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda) - q}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > \Phi(q). \tag{3}$$

This function is unique, positive and strictly increasing for $x \geq 0$ and is further continuous for $q \geq 0$. We extend $W^{(q)}$ to the whole real line by setting $W^{(q)}(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$. We write $W = W^{(0)}$ when $q = 0$. We also define

$$Z^{(q)}(x) = 1 + q\int_0^x W^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

If we define $Y = \{Y_t = X_t - \delta t, t \geq 0\}$, then it is also a Lévy insurance risk process (if it doesn't have monotone paths): its linear part is given by $\gamma - \delta$ but it has the same Gaussian coefficient σ and Lévy measure Π as X. In fact, X and Y share many properties. The Laplace exponent of Y is given by

$$\lambda \mapsto \psi(\lambda) - \delta\lambda,$$

with right-inverse $\varphi(q) = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid \psi(\lambda) - \delta\lambda = q\}$. Then, for each $q \geq 0$, we define its scale functions $\mathbb{W}^{(q)}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$ as in Equations (3) and (4):

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda y} \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda) - \delta\lambda - q}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > \varphi(q)$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(x) = 1 + q\int_0^x \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2.2. **Refracted Lévy processes.** Recall from Equation (2), that our surplus process $U = \{U_t, t \geq 0\}$ is the solution to

$$\mathrm{d}U_t = \mathrm{d}X_t - \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t > 0\}}\mathrm{d}t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where for $\delta \geq 0$ is a model parameter. It was proved in [8] that such a process exists and that it is a skip-free upward strong Markov process.

For technical reasons, we need to assume that if X (and also Y) has paths of bounded variation then

$$0 \leq \delta < c = \gamma + \int_{(0,1)} z\Pi(\mathrm{d}z). \tag{5}$$

Since in this case, X may be written as $X_t = ct - S_t$, the condition in Equation (5) amounts to making sure Y has a strictly positive linear drift.

In [8], many fluctuation identities, including the probability of ruin for U, have been derived using *scale functions* for U: for $q \geq 0$ and for $x, a \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$w^{(q)}(x;z) = W^{(q)}(x-z) + \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq b\}} \int_b^x \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x-y) W^{(q)'}(y-z)\mathrm{d}y. \tag{6}$$

Note that when $x < b$, we have

$$w^{(q)}(x;z) = W^{(q)}(x-z).$$

For $q = 0$, we will write $w^{(0)}(x;z) = w(x;z)$.

2.3. **Classical ruin and exit problems.** Here is a collection of known fluctuation identities (see [7]) for the spectrally negative Lévy processes X and Y, as well as for the refracted Lévy process U.

First, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the following first-passage stopping times:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_a^- &= \inf\{t > 0 \colon X_t < a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_a^+ = \inf\{t > 0 \colon X_t \geq a\} \\
\nu_a^- &= \inf\{t > 0 \colon Y_t < a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_a^+ = \inf\{t > 0 \colon Y_t \geq a\} \\
\kappa_a^- &= \inf\{t > 0 \colon U_t < a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_a^+ = \inf\{t > 0 \colon U_t \geq a\},
\end{aligned}$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

Solutions to the gambler's ruin problem are known for each of the above processes. If $a \leq x \leq c$ and $q \geq 0$, then for X we have for example

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_a^-}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a^- < \tau_c^+\}}\right] = Z^{(q)}(x-a) - \frac{Z^{(q)}(c-a)}{W^{(q)}(c-a)}W^{(q)}(x-a),$$

while for Y and U, we have for example

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_c^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_c^+ < \nu_a^-\}}\right] &= \frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x-a)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(c-a)} \\
\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_c^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_c^+ < \kappa_a^-\}}\right] &= \frac{w^{(q)}(x;a)}{w^{(q)}(c;a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the *classical* probability of ruin associated to each three processes is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\tau_0^- < \infty\right) = 1 - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right]\right)_+ W(x), \tag{7}$$

for X, while for Y and U we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\nu_0^- < \infty\right) = 1 - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right] - \delta\right)_+ \mathbb{W}(x) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_0^- < \infty\right) = 1 - \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right] - \delta\right)_+}{1 - \delta W(a)} w(x;0). \tag{9}$$

For the sake of compactness, we define for $p, p+q \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_a^{(p,q)}(x) &= W^{(p+q)}(x) - q\int_0^a W^{(p+q)}(x-y)\,W^{(p)}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= W^{(p)}(x) + q\int_a^x W^{(p+q)}(x-y)\,W^{(p)}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{(p,q)}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(p)x}\left(1 + q\int_0^z \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(p)z}W^{(p+q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right). \tag{11}$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(p,q)}(x) = \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x) - \delta W^{(p+q)}(0)\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x) \tag{12}$$

$$+\int_a^x \left(qW^{(p+q)}(x-y)-\delta W^{(p+q)'}(x-y)\right)\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y$$
$$=W^{(p+q)}(x)-\int_0^a \left(qW^{(p+q)}(x-y)-\delta W^{(p+q)'}(x-y)\right)\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^{(p,q)}(x)=\mathrm{e}^{\varphi(p)x}\left(1+(q-\delta\varphi(p))\int_0^x \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(p)y}W^{(p+q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right),$$

as analogues of (10) and (11) respectively where $\mathcal{H}_0^{(p,q)}=\mathcal{H}^{(p,q)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{a,0}^{(p,q)}=\mathcal{W}_a^{(p,q)}$.

3. Main results

Following the definition for a standard Lévy insurance risk process, we define the time of Parisian ruin, with delay $r>0$, for the refracted Lévy insurance risk process U by

$$\kappa_r^U=\inf\left\{t>0\colon t-g_t^U>r\right\},$$

where $g_t^U=\sup\{0\le s\le t\colon U_s\ge 0\}$. Our main objective is to obtain an expression for the corresponding probability of Parisian ruin that has a similar structure as the one in Equation (1).

Theorem 2. *For $x\in\mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U<\infty\right)=1-(\mathbb{E}[X_1]-\delta)_+\frac{\int_0^\infty w(x;-z)z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)-\delta r}. \tag{14}$$

For classical ruin and Parisian ruin for a standard SNLP, if the *net profit condition* is not verified then (Parisian) ruin occurs almost surely. In the last result, if $\mathbb{E}[X_1]\le\delta$, then the probability of Parisian ruin for U is equal to 1. This is because asking for $\mathbb{E}[Y_1]=\mathbb{E}[X_1]-\delta>0$ is the same as the net profit condition in this model, namely for the surplus process U.

Also, it should be clear that, if we set $\delta=0$ in the above result, then we recover Equation (1).

Remark 3. Using identities from Section 5, we can also re-write the result in Equation (14) as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U<\infty\right)=1-(\mathbb{E}[X_1]-\delta)_+\frac{\int_0^\infty w(x;-z)z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty (1-\delta W(z))\,z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)}.$$

3.1. Other results.

Using some of the results/lemmas in Section 5, it is possible to obtain other fluctuation identities for U involving the time of Parisian ruin.

For example, the discounted probability of U reaching level a before being Parisian ruined and the Laplace transform of the time of Parisian ruin time can also be computed.

Theorem 4. *Parisian exit problems for refracted Lévy process U*

(i)

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q(\kappa_r^U-r)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\}}\right]$$
$$=\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(x)+\int_0^\infty\left(w^{(q)}(x;-z)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\}}\right]-\mathcal{W}_{z,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(x+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z),$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{(\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+)}\right] = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a) + \int_0^\infty \left(w^{(q)}(a;-z) + \mathcal{W}_{z,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)\right)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}$$

$$= \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathcal{W}_{z,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)} - \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z)\,\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}$$

(ii)

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q(\kappa_r^U - r)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_r^U<\infty\}}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(x) + \int_0^\infty \left(w^{(q)}(x;-z)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_r^U<\infty\}}\right] - \mathcal{W}_{z,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(x+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z),$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q(\kappa_r^U - r)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_r^U<\infty\}}\right] = \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}_\delta^{(q,-q)}(z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) - \frac{q}{\varphi(q)} - \delta}{\int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}_\delta^{(q,0)}(z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) - \mathrm{e}^{qr}\delta},$$

(iii)

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_a^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa_a^+<\kappa_r^U\}}\right] = \frac{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(x;-z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}.$$

Remark 5. If we set $\delta = 0$, we obtain the same quantities by replacing φ, $w^{(q)}$, $\mathcal{H}_\delta^{(q,-q)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_\delta^{(q,-q)}$ by Φ, $W^{(q)}$, $\mathcal{H}^{(q,-q)}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{(q,-q)}$ respectively.

4. Examples

We now present four models in which we can compute the probability of Parisian ruin given in Theorem 2. The task amounts to finding processes X and Y for which both the distribution and the scale function are known. First, we will look at the two classical models: the Cramér-Lundberg model with exponential claims and the Brownian risk model. Then, we will move toward more sophisticated surplus processes, namely a stable risk process and a jump-diffusion risk process with phase-type claims.

4.1. **Cramér-Lundberg process with exponential claims.** When X and Y are a Cramér-Lundberg risk processes with exponentially distributed claims, then they are given by

$$X_t = ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} C_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t = (c-\delta)t - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} C_i,$$

where $N = \{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with intensity $\eta > 0$, and where $\{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ are independent and exponentially distributed random variables with parameter α. The Poisson process and the random variables are mutually independent. In this case, the Laplace exponent of X is given by

$$\psi(\lambda) = c\lambda + \eta\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+\alpha} - 1\right), \quad \text{for } \lambda > -\alpha$$

and the net profit condition is given by $\mathbb{E}[Y_1] = c - \delta - \eta/\alpha \geq 0$. Then, for $x \geq 0$, we have

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{c - \eta/\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{c\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)x}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{W}(x) = \frac{1}{c-\delta-\eta/\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{(c-\delta)\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{\left(\frac{\eta}{c-\delta}-\alpha\right)x}\right)$$
$$w\left(x;-z\right) = \frac{1}{c-\eta/\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{c\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)(x+z)}\right) + K(x,\delta,\alpha,\eta,c)\mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)z},$$

where

$$K(x,\delta,\alpha,\eta,c) := \frac{\delta\eta}{(c-\delta-\eta/\alpha)c}\left(\frac{1}{\eta-c\alpha}\left(\mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)x}-1\right) - \frac{1}{\delta\alpha}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\eta\delta}{c(c-\delta)}x}\right)\right).$$

As noted in [12], we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_r} C_i \in \mathrm{d}y\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} C_i \in \mathrm{d}y\right)\mathbb{P}(N_r=k)$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{-\eta r}\left(\delta_0(\mathrm{d}y) + \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha y}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha\eta r)^{m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}y^m\mathrm{d}y\right),$$

where $\delta_0(\mathrm{d}y)$ is a Dirac mass at 0, and consequently

$$\int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z) = \int_0^{cr} z\mathrm{e}^{-\eta r}\left(\delta_0(cr-\mathrm{d}z) + \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(cr-z)}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha\eta r)^{m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}(cr-z)^m\mathrm{d}z\right)$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{-\eta r}\left(cr + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\eta r)^{m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}\left[cr\Gamma(m+1,cr\alpha) - \frac{1}{\alpha}\Gamma(m+2,cr\alpha)\right]\right),$$

where $\Gamma(a,x) = \int_0^x \mathrm{e}^{-t}t^{a-1}\mathrm{d}t$ is the incomplete gamma function, and

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)z}z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z) = \int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z) - (c-\eta/\alpha)r.$$

Putting all the pieces together with the main result of Theorem 2, we obtain the following expression for the probability of Parisian ruin:

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_r^U<\infty)$$
$$= 1 - (c-\delta-\eta/\alpha)\frac{\frac{\eta}{c\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{(\frac{\eta}{c}-\alpha)x} + (c-\eta/\alpha)K(x,\delta,\alpha,\eta,c)}{(c-\delta)r + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\eta r)^{m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}\left[cr\Gamma(m+1,cr\alpha) - \frac{1}{\alpha}\Gamma(m+2,cr\alpha)\right]}$$
$$- \left(1-\frac{\delta}{c-\eta/\alpha}\right)\left(1-\frac{\eta}{c\alpha} + (c-\eta/\alpha)K(x,\delta,\alpha,\eta,c)\right)$$
$$- \left(1-\frac{\delta}{c-\eta/\alpha}\right)\frac{\delta r\left(1-\frac{\eta}{c\alpha} + (c-\eta/\alpha)K(x,\delta,\alpha,\eta,c)\right)}{(c-\delta)r + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\eta r)^{m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}\left[cr\Gamma(m+1,cr\alpha) - \frac{1}{\alpha}\Gamma(m+2,cr\alpha)\right]}.$$

4.2. **Jump-diffusion risk process with phase-type claims.** More generally, if we add a Brownian component and if we let the claim distribution be more general, then we consider a Lévy jump-diffusion risk process with phase-type claims:

$$X_t = ct + \sigma B_t - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} C_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t = (c-\delta)t + \sigma B_t - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} C_i,$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $N = \{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with intensity $\eta > 0$, and where $\{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ are independent random variables with common phase-type distribution with the minimal representation $(m, \mathbf{T}, \alpha)$, i.e. its cdf is given by $F_C(x) = 1 - \alpha e^{\mathbf{T}x}\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is a subintensity matrix of a killed Markov process, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes a column vector of ones. All of the aforementioned objects are mutually independent.

The Laplace exponent of X is then clearly given by

$$\psi(\lambda) = c\lambda + \frac{\sigma^2\lambda^2}{2} + \eta\left(\alpha(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T})^{-1}\mathbf{t} - 1\right),$$

where $\mathbf{t} = -\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1}$.

Let ρ_j and ζ_j be the roots with negative real part of the equations $\psi(\rho_j) = 0$ and $\psi(\zeta_j) = \delta\zeta_j$. Since we assume the net profit condition $\mathbb{E}[X_1] > \delta$, from [6, Proposition 5.4], we have that ρ_j are distinct roots and also ζ_j are distinct. Then follows [4, Proposition 2.1] and [6, Proposition 5.4] we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W(x) &= \frac{1}{\psi'(0)} + \sum_j A_j e^{\rho_j x} \\
\mathbb{W}(x) &= \frac{1}{\psi'(0) - \delta} + \sum_j B_j e^{\zeta_j x}, \quad W'(x) = \sum_j \rho_j A_j e^{\rho_j x} \\
w(x; -z) &= \frac{1}{\psi'(0)} + \sum_j A_j e^{\rho_j(x+z)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\psi'(0) - \delta}\sum_j \rho_j A_j \left(e^{\rho_j x} - 1\right) e^{\rho_j z} + \sum_j \sum_k \frac{e^{\rho_j x} - e^{\zeta_k x}}{\rho_j - \zeta_k} A_j B_k e^{\rho_j z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) = \mathrm{e}^{-\eta r}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\eta r)^k}{k!}\int_0^\infty F^{*k}(\mathrm{d}y)\mathcal{N}\left((z + y - cr)\sigma\sqrt{r}\right)\mathrm{d}z, \tag{15}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the cumulative distribution function of a standard normal random variable and where F^{*k} is the k-th convolution of F.

Putting all the pieces together with the main result of Theorem 2, we obtain an expression for the probability of Parisian ruin.

4.3. **Brownian risk process.** Now, if X and Y are Brownian risk processes, i.e. if

$$X_t = ct + \sigma B_t \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t = (c - \delta)t + \sigma B_t,$$

where $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion. In this case, the Laplace exponent of X is given by

$$\psi(\lambda) = c\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\lambda^2$$

and the net profit condition is given by $\mathbb{E}[Y_1] = c - \delta \geq 0$. Then, for $x \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W(x) &= \frac{1}{c}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c}{\sigma^2}x}\right) \\
\mathbb{W}(x) &= \frac{1}{c - \delta}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c-\delta}{\sigma^2}x}\right) \\
w(x; -z) &= \frac{1}{c}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c}{\sigma^2}(x+z)}\right) + M(x, \delta, \sigma, c)\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c}{\sigma^2}z},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x,\delta,\sigma,c) := \frac{\delta}{c-\delta}\left(\frac{1}{c}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c}{\sigma^2}x}\right)-\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c-\delta}{\sigma^2}x}-\mathrm{e}^{-2\frac{c}{\sigma^2}x}\right)\right).$$

Again, as noted in [12], we have

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}z} z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z) = \int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z) - cr$$

and consequently

$$\int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 r}}\int_0^\infty z\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(z-cr)^2}{2\sigma^2 r}}\mathrm{d}z = \frac{\sigma\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{c^2 r}{2\sigma^2}} + cr\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{c\sqrt{r}}{\sigma}\right),$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the cumulative distribution function of a standard normal random variable.

Putting all the pieces together with the main result of Theorem 2, we obtain the following expression for the probability of Parisian ruin:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_r^U<\infty) &= 1-\left(\frac{c-\delta}{c}\right)\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{c^2r}{2\sigma^2}}+cr\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{c\sqrt{r}}{\sigma}\right)\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}+cM(x,\delta,\sigma,c)\right)}{\frac{\sigma\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{c^2r}{2\sigma^2}}+cr\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{c\sqrt{r}}{\sigma}\right)-\delta r}\\ &\qquad + (c-\delta)\frac{r\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}-cM(x,\delta,\sigma,c)\right)}{\frac{\sigma\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{c^2r}{2\sigma^2}}+cr\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{c\sqrt{r}}{\sigma}\right)-\delta r}.\end{aligned}$$

4.4. **Stable risk process.** Now, if X and Y are 3/2-stable risk processes, i.e. if

$$X_t = ct + Z_t \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t = (c-\delta)t + Z_t,$$

where $Z = \{Z_t, t\geq 0\}$ is a spectrally negative α-stable process with $\alpha = 3/2$. In this case, the Laplace exponent of X is given by $\psi(\lambda) = c\lambda + \lambda^{3/2}$. Then, for $x\geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}W(x) &= \frac{1-E_{1/2}(-c\sqrt{x})}{c}\\ \mathbb{W}(x) &= \frac{1-E_{1/2}(-(c-\delta)\sqrt{x})}{c-\delta}\\ w\left(x;-z\right) &= \frac{1}{c}\left[1-E_{1/2}\left(-c\sqrt{x+z}\right)\right]\\ &\qquad + \int_0^x \frac{1}{c-\delta}\left[1-E_{1/2}\left(-(c-\delta)\sqrt{x-y}\right)\right]\left(\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{x}} - c\cdot E_{1/2}\left(-c\sqrt{y+z}\right)\right)\mathrm{d}y,\end{aligned}$$

where $E_{1/2}$ is the Mittag-Leffler function of order 1/2.

Again, as noted in [12], we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_r\in \mathrm{d}y) = \mathbb{P}(r^{2/3}Z_1\in \mathrm{d}y) = \begin{cases}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}}r^{2/3}y^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-u/2}W_{1/2,1/6}(u)\mathrm{d}y & y>0,\\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\pi}}r^{2/3}y^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{u/2}W_{-1/2,1/6}(u)\mathrm{d}y & y<0,\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where $u = \frac{4}{27}r^{9/2}|y|^3$ and $W_{\kappa,\mu}$ is Whittaker's W-function.

Putting all the pieces together with the main result of Theorem 2, we obtain the probability of Parisian ruin.

5. Proofs and more

The proofs of our main results are based on technical but important lemmas (provided in the next section), as well as more standard probabilistic decompositions.

5.1. **Intermediate results.** The next lemma is lifted from [12]:

Lemma 6. *For $\theta > 0$ and $y \geq 0$,*

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\theta r} \int_y^\infty \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}r = \frac{1}{\Phi(\theta)} \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)y}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\theta r} \int_0^\infty W^{(q)}(z-y) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}r = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)y}}{\theta - q}. \tag{18}$$

From this first lemma, we can deduce the following two useful identities:

$$\int_0^\infty W^{(q)}(z) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) = \mathrm{e}^{qr}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\theta r} W(z-y) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{\theta} \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)y}, \quad y \geq 0. \tag{20}$$

We can also extract from [12] the following identity: for $x < 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\tau_0^+ \leq r\right) = \int_0^\infty W(x+z) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z). \tag{21}$$

This identity will be generalized in Equation (23).

For the proof of our main lemma, which is Lemma 8 below, we will need the following result taken from [11].

Lemma 7. *For all $p, q \geq 0$ and $a \leq x \leq c$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-p\nu_a^-} W^{(q)}(Y_{\nu_a^-}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_a^- < \nu_c^+\}}\right] = W^{(q)}(x) - \int_0^{x-a} \left((q-p)W^{(q)}(x-z) - \delta W^{(q)'}(x-z)\right) \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(z)\mathrm{d}z$$
$$- \frac{\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x-a)}{\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(c-a)} \left(W^{(q)}(c) - \int_0^{c-a} \left((q-p)W^{(q)}(c-z) - \delta W^{(q)'}(c-z)\right) \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(z)\mathrm{d}z\right). \tag{22}$$

Note that another expression for the expectation in (22) can be found in [14, Lemma1].

The following three identities are new and crucial for the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 8. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \geq 0$ and $a \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_0^+ \leq r\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^- < \infty\}}\right] = \int_0^\infty \left(w(x;-z) - \mathbb{W}(x)\right) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) + \delta \mathbb{W}(x), \tag{23}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-} \mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_0^+ \leq r\}}\right] \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^- < \nu_a^+\}}\right]$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr} \left(w^{(q)}(x,-z) - \frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)} w^{(q)}(a,-z)\right) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}\left(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z\right) \tag{24}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\le r)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]$$
$$=\int_0^\infty\left(\mathcal{W}_{x,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(x+z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z). \quad (25)$$

Proof. By (18) and Laplace inversion, we obtain, for all $y\le 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}_y\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_0^+\le r\}}\right]=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr}W^{(q)}(y+z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z).$$

Then, by Tonelli's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_0^+\le r\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\\
&\quad=\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr}W^{(q)}\left(Y_{\nu_0^-}+z\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\\
&\quad=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}W^{(q)}\left(Y_{\nu_0^-}+z\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)\\
&\quad=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_{x+z}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_z^-}W^{(q)}\left(Y_{\nu_z^-}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_z^-<\nu_{a+z}^+\}}\right]\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows by spatial homogeneity of Y. Using identity (22) for $p=q$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_0^+\le r\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]=w^{(q)}(x;-z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}w^{(q)}(a;-z),$$

which proves (24).

By (21), Tonelli's theorem and spatial homogeneity of Y, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\le r)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]&=\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\int_0^\infty W\left(Y_{\nu_0^-}+z\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\\
&=\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}W\left(Y_{\nu_0^-}+z\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)\\
&=\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_{x+z}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_z^-}W\left(Y_{\nu_z^-}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_z^-<\nu_{a+z}^+\}}\right]\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)\\
&=\int_0^\infty\left(\mathcal{W}_{x,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(x+z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the last identity, we need to compute the following limit

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_0^+\le r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\infty\}}\right]=\lim_{q\to 0}\lim_{a\to\infty}\left(\mathrm{e}^{qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_0^+\le r\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\}}\right]\right).$$

Since

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{W^{(q)}(z+a)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}=0\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a-y)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}=\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y}.$$

We obtain using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{w^{(q)}(a;-z)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}=\delta\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y}W^{(q)\prime}(y+z)\,\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= -\delta W^{(q)}(z) + \delta \mathrm{e}^{\varphi(q)z}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \varphi(q)\int_0^z \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y} W^{(q)}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\right),$$

since $\psi(\varphi(q)) - q = \psi(\varphi(q)) - \delta\varphi(q) + \delta\varphi(q) - q = \delta\varphi(q)$. Then

$$\lim_{q\to 0}\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{w^{(q)}(a,-z)}{W^{(q)}(a)} = -\delta W(z) + 1,$$

and the result follows. ■

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** For $x < 0$, using the strong Markov property of U and the fact that $U_{\kappa_0^+} = 0$ on $\left\{\kappa_0^+ < \infty\right\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right) = \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty \mid \mathcal{F}_{\kappa_0^+}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_0^+<\infty\right\}}\right] = \mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_0^+ \le r\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right).$$

Since $\left\{X_t, t < \tau_0^+\right\}$ and $\left\{U_t, t < \kappa_0^+\right\}$ have the same distribution with respect to $\mathbb{P}_x$ when $x < 0$, we further have

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right) = \mathbb{P}_x\left(\tau_0^+ \le r\right)\mathbb{P}(\kappa_r^U = \infty). \tag{26}$$

For $x \ge 0$, using the strong Markov property of U again, the fact that $\left\{Y_t, t < \nu_0^-\right\}$ and $\left\{U_t, t < \kappa_0^-\right\}$ have the same distribution with respect to $\mathbb{P}_x$ and using (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_0^- = \infty\right) + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty \mid \mathcal{F}_{\kappa_0^-}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_0^-<\infty\right\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_0^- = \infty\right) + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{U_{\kappa_0^-}}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_0^-<\infty\right\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{P}_x\left(\nu_0^- = \infty\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right)\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_0^+ \le r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\infty\right\}}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Note that this last expression holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will split the next part of the proof into two cases: for processes with paths of bounded variation (BV), and then for processes with paths of unbounded variation (UBV).

First, we assume X and Y have paths of BV. Setting $x = 0$ in (27) yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\nu_0^- = \infty\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_0^+ \le r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\infty\right\}}\right].$$

Solving for $\mathbb{P}\left(\kappa_r^U = \infty\right)$ and using both (8) and (23), we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\kappa_r^U = \infty) = \frac{(\mathbb{E}[X_1] - \delta)_+}{\int_0^\infty \frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) - \delta}, \tag{28}$$

where we used the fact that $\mathbb{W}(0) > 0$.

Now, if X has paths of UBV, we will use the same approximation procedure as in [12]. We denote by $\kappa_{r,\epsilon}^U$ the stopping time describing the first time an excursion, starting when U gets below zero and ending before U gets back up to ϵ, is longer than r.

Using the same arguments as in the BV case, when $x < 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_{r,\epsilon}^U = \infty\right) = \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\epsilon^+ \le r)\mathbb{P}_\epsilon(\kappa_{r,\epsilon}^U = \infty)$$

and then, when $x \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_{r,\epsilon}^U = \infty\right) = \mathbb{P}_x\left(\nu_0^- = \infty\right) + \mathbb{P}_\epsilon(\kappa_r^U = \infty)\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_\epsilon^+ \le r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\infty\right\}}\right].$$

Setting $x = \epsilon$ and solving for $\mathbb{P}_\epsilon\left(\kappa^U_{r,\epsilon} = \infty\right)$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_\epsilon\left(\kappa^U_{r,\epsilon} = \infty\right) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_\epsilon\left(\nu_0^- = \infty\right)}{1 - \mathbb{E}_\epsilon\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^- < \infty\right\}} \mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_\epsilon^+ \leq r\right)\right]}. \tag{29}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\theta r} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_\epsilon^+ \leq r\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^- < \infty\right\}}\right] \mathrm{d}r &= \mathbb{E}_\epsilon\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^- < \infty\right\}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\theta r} \mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_\epsilon^+ \leq r) \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)\epsilon}}{\theta} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^- < \infty\right\}} \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(\theta) Y_{\nu_0^-}}\right] \\
&= \frac{(\theta - \delta\Phi(\theta))}{\theta\Phi(\theta)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)(y+\epsilon)} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) \mathrm{d}y \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(\theta)} - \frac{\delta}{\theta}\right) \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\theta)(y+\epsilon)} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) \mathrm{d}y,
\end{aligned}$$

using identities (17) and (18) with Tonelli's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_\epsilon\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_\epsilon^+ \leq r\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^- < \infty\right\}}\right] \\
&\qquad = \int_\epsilon^\infty \left(\int_0^{z-\epsilon} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) \mathrm{d}y - \delta \int_0^{z-\epsilon} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) W(z - (y + \epsilon)) \mathrm{d}y\right) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z).
\end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{z-\epsilon} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) \mathrm{d}y - \delta \int_0^{z-\epsilon} \mathbb{W}'(\epsilon + y) W(z - (y + \epsilon)) \mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad = \mathbb{W}(z) - \mathbb{W}(\epsilon) + \delta \mathbb{W}(\epsilon) W(z - \epsilon) - \delta \int_0^{z-\epsilon} \mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad = \mathbb{W}(z) - \mathbb{W}(\epsilon)(1 - \delta W(z - \epsilon)) - \delta \int_0^z \mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u + \delta \int_{z-\epsilon}^z \mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad = -\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)(1 - \delta W(z - \epsilon)) + W(z) + \delta \int_{z-\epsilon}^z \mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used (33). Then

$$\mathbb{P}_\epsilon(\kappa^U_{r,\epsilon} = \infty) = \frac{(\mathbb{E}[X_1] - \delta)_+}{\frac{1}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)} - \int_\epsilon^\infty \left(\frac{-\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)(1 - \delta W(z-\epsilon)) + W(z) + \delta \int_{z-\epsilon}^z \mathbb{W}(z-u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)}\right) \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}.$$

Since $\mathbb{W}$ is an increasing function, for all $u \in [z - \epsilon, z]$, we get

$$0 \leq \int_{z-\epsilon}^z \frac{\mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u)}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)} \mathrm{d}u \leq \int_{z-\epsilon}^z W'(u) \mathrm{d}u = W(z) - W(z - \epsilon),$$

and then, using (21),

$$0 \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\epsilon^\infty \int_{z-\epsilon}^z \frac{\mathbb{W}(z - u) W'(u) \mathrm{d}u}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)} \frac{z}{r} \mathbb{P}\left(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z\right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty}\left(W(z)-W(z-\epsilon)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z\right)=0.$$

By a similar argument, we also have

$$0\leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1-\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty}W(z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)}\leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}W(\epsilon)\frac{\epsilon}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)}\int_0^{\epsilon}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z\right)}{r}=0,$$

since from l'Hôpital's rule

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{\mathbb{W}(\epsilon)}=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\mathbb{W}'(\epsilon)}=\begin{cases}\frac{\sigma^2}{2} & \text{when } \sigma>0,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where, for the last equality, we used (32). As in [12], we can show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}\left(\kappa^U_{r,\epsilon}=\infty\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\kappa^U_r=\infty\right)$$

which is the same expression as in Equation (28), but now for the UBV case. This concludes the proof for $x=0$.

For the rest of the proof, X and Y can be of BV or of UBV. We can now write (27) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa^U_r=\infty)&=\mathbb{P}_x\left(\nu^-_0=\infty\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\kappa^U_r=\infty\right)\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu^-_0}}\left(\tau^+_0\leq r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu^-_0<\infty\right\}}\right]\\&=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right]-\delta\right)_+\mathbb{W}(x)+\frac{\left(\mathbb{E}[X_1]-\delta\right)_+}{\int_0^{\infty}\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)-\delta}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu^-_0}}(\tau^+_0\leq r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu^-_0<\infty\right\}}\right]\\&=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right]-\delta\right)_+\left(\frac{\mathbb{W}(x)\left(\int_0^{\infty}\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)-\delta\right)+\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu^-_0}}\left(\tau^+_0\leq r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu^-_0<\infty\right\}}\right]}{\int_0^{\infty}\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)-\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using (23), we get finally

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa^U_r=\infty\right)=\left(\mathbb{E}[X_1]-\delta\right)_+\left(\frac{\int_0^{\infty}w(x;-z)z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^{\infty}z\mathbb{P}(X_r\in \mathrm{d}z)-\delta r}\right),$$

which holds for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.** For $x<0$, using the strong Markov property of U and the fact that $U_{\kappa^+_0}=0$ on $\left\{\kappa^+_0<\infty\right\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa^+_0>r)+\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^+_0}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^+_0\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right].$$

Since$\left\{X_t,\ t<\tau^+_0\right\}$ and $\left\{U_t,\ t<\kappa^+_0\right\}$ have the same law under $\mathbb{P}_x$ when $x<0$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{P}_x(\tau^+_0>r)+\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau^+_0}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau^+_0\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]. \quad (30)$$

For $0\leq x\leq a$ and using the strong Markov property again we get

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^-_0}\mathbb{E}_{U_{\kappa^-_0}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa^U_r}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^U_r<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa^-_0<\kappa^+_a\right\}}\right]$$

Using the fact that $\left\{Y_t,\ t<\nu_0^-\right\}$ and $\left\{U_t,\ t<\kappa_0^-\right\}$ have the same law under $\mathbb{P}_x$ when $x\geq 0$ and injecting (30) in the last expectation, we have, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right] &= \mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left(\tau_0^+\leq r\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\tau_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]\\
&= \mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\leq r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

For $x=0$ and using the last equation

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\leq r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}{1-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\leq r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]\\
&\qquad=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qr}\left(W^{(q)}(z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(0)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}w^{(q)}(a;-z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\leq r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]\\
&\qquad=\int_0^\infty\left(W(z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(0)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right).
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of (20) for $q=0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right] &= \frac{-\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(0)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a)+\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(0)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)}{\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(0)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{e}^{-qr}w^{(q)}(a;-z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)}\\
&= 1-\frac{\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a)+\int_0^\infty\left(w^{(q)}(a;-z)+\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)\right)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{qr}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left(\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right)}\right] &= \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(x)-\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a)\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\\
&\quad-\int_0^\infty\left(\mathcal{W}_{x,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(x+z)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\mathcal{W}_{a,\delta}^{(q,-q)}(a+z)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left(\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right)}\right]\int_0^\infty\left(w^{(q)}\left(x;-z\right)-\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(x\right)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(a\right)}w^{(q)}\left(a;-z\right)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)$$
$$=\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}\left(x\right)+\int_0^\infty\left(w^{(q)}\left(x;-z\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathcal{W}_{x,\delta}^{(q,-q)}\left(x+z\right)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right).$$

Identity (ii) follows from (i) by taking limit. Indeed, we have

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q(\kappa_r^U-r)}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]$$
$$=\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}\left(x\right)+\int_0^\infty\left(w^{(q)}\left(x;-z\right)\left(\lim_{a\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right\}}\right]\right)-\mathcal{W}_{z,\delta}^{(q,-q)}\left(x+z\right)\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_r^U}\mathbf{1}_{\left(\kappa_r^U<\kappa_a^+\right)}\right]=\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathrm{e}^{-qr}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\le r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}{1-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\le r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}$$

As we showed before, we have

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{w^{(q)}(a;-z)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}=-\delta W^{(q)}\left(z\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\varphi(q)z}\left(1-\delta\varphi\left(q\right)\int_0^z\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y}W^{(q)}\left(y\right)\mathrm{d}y\right),$$

Then

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{1-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{E}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\tau_0^+}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_0^+\le r\right\}}\right]\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}$$
$$=\int_0^\infty\left(1-\delta\varphi\left(q\right)\int_0^z\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)v}W^{(q)}\left(v\right)dv\right)\mathrm{e}^{\varphi(q)z}\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)-\delta e^{qr},$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\nu_0^-}\mathbb{P}_{Y_{\nu_0^-}}(\tau_0^+\le r)\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\nu_0^-<\nu_a^+\right\}}\right]}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}$$
$$=\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_0^\infty\left(\frac{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(a+z\right)-\delta W(z)\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(a\right)-\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}(a)}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}(a)}\right)\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}\left(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z\right)$$
$$-\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_0^\infty\frac{q\int_0^z W\left(z-y\right)\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(a+y\right)\mathrm{d}y+\delta\int_0^z W\left(z-y\right)\mathbb{W}^{(q)\prime}\left(a+y\right)\mathrm{d}y}{\mathbb{W}^{(q)}\left(a\right)}\frac{z}{r}\mathbb{P}(X_r\in\mathrm{d}z)$$
$$=\mathrm{e}^{\varphi(q)z}-\frac{q}{\varphi\left(q\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\varphi(q)z}\left(\int_0^z\left(qW\left(u\right)+\varphi\left(q\right)\delta W\left(u\right)\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)u}\mathrm{d}u\right)-\delta.$$

To prove (iii) we use argument from [3], Strong Markov property and fact that process U jumps only downwards we derive:

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_r^U=\infty)=\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_b^+<\kappa_r^U)\mathbb{P}_a(\kappa_r^U=\infty).$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_a^+<\kappa_r^U)=\frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\kappa_r^U=\infty)}{\mathbb{P}_a(\kappa_r^U=\infty)}.$$

By [12, Theorem1],

$$V^{(q)}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(q)x}\mathbb{P}_x^{\Phi(q)}(\kappa_r^U = \infty) = (\mathbb{E}^{\Phi(q)}(X_1) - \delta)_+ \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(q)z} w^{(q)}(x+z) z \mathbb{P}^{\Phi(q)}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}^{\Phi(q)}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) - \delta r}$$
$$= (\mathbb{E}^{\Phi(q)}(X_1) - \delta)_+ \frac{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(x+z) z \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty z\mathbb{P}^{\Phi(q)}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z) - \delta r}. \quad (31)$$

Using the change of measure $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x^\nu}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_x}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_t(\nu)}{\mathcal{E}_0(\nu)}$ for $\mathcal{E}_t(c) = \exp\{cX_t - \psi(c)t\}$ and $\nu = \Phi(q)$, the Optional Stopping Theorem and the fact that on $\mathbb{P}^{\Phi(q)}$ the process X and Y tends to infinity a.s. (since $\psi'_{\Phi(q)}(0+) = \psi'(\Phi(q)+) > 0$) and from (31), we have for $x \le a$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-q\kappa_a^+}, \kappa_a^+ < \kappa_r^U\right] = \frac{V^{(q)}(x)}{V^{(q)}(a)} = \frac{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(x;-z) z \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}{\int_0^\infty w^{(q)}(a;-z) z \mathbb{P}(X_r \in \mathrm{d}z)}.$$

When X has paths of unbounded variation, we can use the same limiting argument used in the proof of theorem (2). The details are left to the reader.

Appendix A. A few analytical properties of scale functions

The q-scale function $W^{(q)}$, of a spectrally negative Lévy process X, is differentiable except for at most countably many points. Moreover, $W^{(q)}$ is continuously differentiable if X has paths of unbounded variation or if the tail of the Lévy measure is continuous, and it is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ if $\sigma > 0$. The initial values of $W^{(q)}$ and $W^{(q)\prime}$ are given by

$$W^{(q)}(0+) = \begin{cases} 1/\mathsf{c} & \text{when } \sigma = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^1 z\Pi(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$W^{(q)\prime}(0+) = \begin{cases} 2/\sigma^2 & \text{when } \sigma > 0, \\ (\Pi(0,\infty)+q)/c^2 & \text{when } \sigma = 0 \text{ and } \Pi(0,\infty) < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, when $\psi'(0+) > 0$, the *terminal value* of W is given by

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} W(x) = \frac{1}{\psi'(0+)}.$$

Finally, recall the following useful identity taken from [14]: for $p, q \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(q-p)\int_0^x \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x-y)W^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y$$
$$= W^{(q)}(x) - \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x) + \delta\left(W^{(q)}(0)\mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x) + \int_a^x \mathbb{W}^{(p)}(x-y)W^{(q)\prime}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right), \quad (33)$$

where $\mathbb{W}^{(q)}$ is the q-scale function of the spectrally negative Lévy process $Y = \{Y_t = X_t - \delta t, t \ge 0\}$. Note that when $\delta = 0$, we recover a special case first obainted in [13]:

$$(q-p)\int_0^x W^{(p)}(x-y)W^{(q)}(y)\mathrm{d}y = W^{(q)}(x) - W^{(p)}(x).$$

Appendix B. Acknowledgements

Funding in support of this work was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

M. A. Lkabous thanks the Institut des sciences mathématiques (ISM) and the Faculté des sciences at UQAM for their financial support (PhD scholarships).

References

[1] E. J. Baurdoux, J. C. Pardo, J. L. Pérez, and J.-F. Renaud, *Gerber-Shiu distribution at Parisian ruin for Lévy insurance risk processes*, J. Appl. Probab. (to appear).

[2] I. Czarna and Z. Palmowski, *Ruin probability with Parisian delay for a spectrally negative Lévy risk process*, J. Appl. Probab. **48** (2011), no. 4, 984–1002.

[3] ———, *Dividend problem with Parisian delay for a spectrally negative Lévy risk process*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **161** (2014), no. 1, 239–256.

[4] M. Egami and K. Yamazaki, *Phase-type fitting of scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **264** (2014), 1–22.

[5] H. Guérin and J.-F. Renaud, *On the distribution of cumulative Parisian ruin*, arXiv:1509.06857 [math.PR].

[6] A. Kuznetsov, A. E. Kyprianou, and V. Rivero, *The theory of scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes*, Lévy Matters - Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2012.

[7] A. E. Kyprianou, *Fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications - Introductory lectures*, Second, Universitext, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.

[8] A. E. Kyprianou and R. L. Loeffen, *Refracted Lévy processes*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **46** (2010), no. 1, 24–44.

[9] D. Landriault, J.-F. Renaud, and X. Zhou, *Occupation times of spectrally negative Lévy processes with applications*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **121** (2011), no. 11, 2629–2641.

[10] ———, *An insurance risk model with Parisian implementation delays*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. **16** (2014), no. 3, 583–607.

[11] R. L. Loeffen, *On obtaining simple identities for overshoots of spectrally negative Lévy processes*, arXiv:1410.5341v2 [math.PR].

[12] R. L. Loeffen, I. Czarna, and Z. Palmowski, *Parisian ruin probability for spectrally negative Lévy processes*, Bernoulli **19** (2013), no. 2, 599–609.

[13] R. L. Loeffen, J.-F. Renaud, and X. Zhou, *Occupation times of intervals until first passage times for spectrally negative Lévy processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **124** (2014), no. 3, 1408–1435.

[14] J.-F. Renaud, *On the time spent in the red by a refracted Lévy risk process*, J. Appl. Probab. **51** (2014), no. 4, 1171–1188.

[15] J.T.Y. Wong and E.C.K. Cheung, *On the time value of Parisian ruin in (dual) renewal risk processes with exponential jumps*, Insurance Math. Econom. **65** (2015), 280–290.

Département de mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), 201 av. Président-Kennedy, Montréal (Québec) H2X 3Y7, Canada

E-mail address: lkabous.mohamed_amine@courrier.uqam.ca

Department of Mathematics, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: czarna@math.uni.wroc.pl

Département de mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), 201 av. Président-Kennedy, Montréal (Québec) H2X 3Y7, Canada

E-mail address: renaud.jf@uqam.ca